Agreement study of keratometric values measured by Biograph/LENSTAR, auto-keratorefractometer and Pentacam: Decision for IOL calculationPurpose: To determine the prevalence of corneal arcus, its risk factors, and its relationship to ocular and visual indices.

BACKGROUND:

The aim was to determine the agreement in keratometric readings measured with the Biograph/LENSTAR, the Pentacam and an auto-kerato-refractometer in a $\pounds \cdot -$ to $\exists \pounds \cdot -$ to $\exists \xi \cdot -$ to d = to = to $\exists \xi \cdot -$ to $\exists \xi \cdot -$ to $\exists \xi \cdot -$ to $\exists \xi \cdot -$

METHODS:

This report is part of the first phase of the population-based Shahroud Cohort Eye Study. In virgin eyes, agreement among keratometry readings of three devices was examined in $\sqrt[4]{7}$ eyes using the Bland-Altman method. The inter-device 4° per cent limits of agreement (4° % LoA) and 4° % confidence interval for upper and lower limits of agreement were calculated. Comparisons were made for keratometric readings of the flat and steep meridians as maximum keratometry (max-K), minimum keratometry (min-K) and their average (mean-K).

RESULTS:

Based on Biograph/LENSTAR measurements, averages of max-K, min-K and mean-K were $\mathfrak{t}\mathfrak{t}.\mathsf{Y}\mathfrak{t} \pm \mathfrak{k}.\mathsf{T}\mathfrak{t}$, $\mathfrak{t}\mathfrak{T}.\mathsf{A}\mathsf{Y} \pm \mathfrak{k}.\mathfrak{o}\mathfrak{t}$ and $\mathfrak{t}\mathfrak{t}.\mathsf{Y}\mathfrak{h} \pm \mathfrak{k}.\mathfrak{o}\mathfrak{h}$ D, respectively. The quantile-quantile plot revealed that all three variables had normal distributions in this population. Agreement between the Biograph/LENSTAR and the auto-kerato-refractometer (max-K difference: - $\mathfrak{k}.\mathfrak{r}$ D, $\mathfrak{s}\mathfrak{o}\mathfrak{h}$ LoA: - $\mathfrak{k}.\mathfrak{h}$ to $\mathfrak{k}.\mathfrak{r}\mathfrak{o}$; min-K difference: - $\mathfrak{k}.\mathfrak{h}$ D, $\mathfrak{s}\mathfrak{o}\mathfrak{h}$ LoA: - $\mathfrak{k}.\mathfrak{h}$ to $\mathfrak{k}.\mathfrak{r}\mathfrak{o}$; min-K difference: - $\mathfrak{k}.\mathfrak{h}$ D, $\mathfrak{s}\mathfrak{o}\mathfrak{h}$ LoA: - $\mathfrak{k}.\mathfrak{h}$ to $\mathfrak{k}.\mathfrak{r}\mathfrak{o}$; min-K difference: - $\mathfrak{k}.\mathfrak{o}\mathfrak{h}$ D, $\mathfrak{s}\mathfrak{o}\mathfrak{h}$ LoA: - $\mathfrak{k}.\mathfrak{h}$ to $\mathfrak{k}.\mathfrak{r}\mathfrak{o}$; min-K difference: - $\mathfrak{k}\mathfrak{o}\mathfrak{h}$ D, $\mathfrak{s}\mathfrak{o}\mathfrak{h}$ LoA: - $\mathfrak{k}.\mathfrak{h}$ to $\mathfrak{k}.\mathfrak{l}\mathfrak{h}$; min-K difference: - $\mathfrak{k}\mathfrak{o}\mathfrak{h}$ D, $\mathfrak{s}\mathfrak{o}\mathfrak{h}$ LoA: - $\mathfrak{k}\mathfrak{o}\mathfrak{h}$ to $\mathfrak{k}.\mathfrak{l}\mathfrak{h}$; min-K difference: - $\mathfrak{k}\mathfrak{o}\mathfrak{h}$ D, $\mathfrak{s}\mathfrak{o}\mathfrak{h}$ LoA: - $\mathfrak{k}\mathfrak{o}\mathfrak{h}$ to $\mathfrak{k}\mathfrak{l}\mathfrak{h}$; min-K difference: - $\mathfrak{k}\mathfrak{o}\mathfrak{h}$ D, $\mathfrak{s}\mathfrak{o}\mathfrak{h}$ LoA: - $\mathfrak{k}\mathfrak{o}\mathfrak{h}$ to $\mathfrak{k}\mathfrak{l}\mathfrak{h}$; min-K difference: - $\mathfrak{k}\mathfrak{o}\mathfrak{h}$ D, $\mathfrak{s}\mathfrak{o}\mathfrak{h}$ LoA: - $\mathfrak{k}\mathfrak{o}\mathfrak{h}$ to $\mathfrak{k}\mathfrak{l}\mathfrak{h}$; min-K difference: - $\mathfrak{k}\mathfrak{o}\mathfrak{h}$ D, $\mathfrak{s}\mathfrak{o}\mathfrak{h}$ LoA: - $\mathfrak{k}\mathfrak{o}\mathfrak{h}$ difference: - $\mathfrak{k}\mathfrak{o}\mathfrak{h}$ D, $\mathfrak{s}\mathfrak{o}\mathfrak{h}$ LoA: - $\mathfrak{k}\mathfrak{o}\mathfrak{h}$ difference: - $\mathfrak{k}\mathfrak{o}\mathfrak{h}$ D, $\mathfrak{s}\mathfrak{o}\mathfrak{h}$ LoA: - $\mathfrak{k}\mathfrak{o}\mathfrak{h}$ difference: - $\mathfrak{o}\mathfrak{o}\mathfrak{h}$ D, $\mathfrak{s}\mathfrak{o}\mathfrak{h}$ LoA: - $\mathfrak{k}\mathfrak{o}\mathfrak{h}$ difference: - $\mathfrak{k}\mathfrak{o}\mathfrak{h}$ D, $\mathfrak{s}\mathfrak{o}\mathfrak{h}$ LoA: - $\mathfrak{k}\mathfrak{o}\mathfrak{h}$ difference: - $\mathfrak{k}\mathfrak{o}\mathfrak{h}$ LoA: - $\mathfrak{k}\mathfrak{o}\mathfrak{h}$ difference: - $\mathfrak{k}\mathfrak{o}\mathfrak{h}$ diffe

CONCLUSION:

These three devices are not interchangeable in terms of keratometry for calculation of the intraocular lens power. Agreement between the Biograph/LENSTAR and the auto-kerato-refractometer can be increased with regression models but this is not true in case of Biograph/LENSTAR and Pentacam.